

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/652,569	09/02/2003	Raymond Metcalf	32537-187160	9512	
26694	7590 09/16/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP P.O. BOX 34385			PHILLIPS, CHARLES E		
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3751		

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

44	
MOND	
ddress	
ely. communication.	
ne merits is	
CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.	
ıl Stage	

				1				
	Application No	Applicar	ıt(s)	•				
	10/652,569	METCAL	F, RAYMOND					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Charles E. Phill	ips 3751						
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cov	er sheet with the correspond	ience address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how nunication. i0) days, a reply within the statutory matutory period will apply and will expire will, by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timely filed inimum of thirty (30) days will be consi e SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing do to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.	idered timely. ate of this communication. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This action is non-fi	nal.						
•								
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)		7						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (FTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	PTO-948) PTO/SB/08) 5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Applic Other:	ation (PTO-152)					

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-10 and 29-36, drawn to a bathtub, classified in class 4, subclass
 556.

- II. Claims 11-19, drawn to a door kit, classified in class 49, subclass 507.
- III. Claims 20-28, drawn to a method of making a door, classified in class 29, subclass 592.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the locking is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as an environment other than a bathtub.

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the door could be made absent molding.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II or III is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,569 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

A telephone call was made to Mr. Shannon on 8/31/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles E. Phillips at telephone number 308-1515.

Charles E. Phillips Primary Examiner